### The Wembley Public School Accomodation Review – A Process Gone Wrong

#### **Inconsistencies – The Wembley Data**

- A lack of ranking of importance of the findings.
- Age of the data and solutions presented (4+ years old).
- Only 1 solution and 1 price?
- No action, no public notice between 2004 and 2008.
- No request for PTR funds for Wembley in 2006.
- No ESCO (energy services company).

## (or Why I can't have my FCI)

- Between the first public meeting on March 5<sup>th</sup> and the official presentation of the ARC and Administrative Council reports on September 22<sup>nd</sup>, the question of Princess Anne's status was publicly raised a MINIMUM of 7 times.
- Several times the public was told that Princess Anne had an FCI index that
  was projected to go above 65% in the next 5 years when in fact it
  ALREADY was at 90%.
- The full details (Princess Anne's 5 year cumulative and current FCI scores) were not publicly released until August 15<sup>th</sup> and September 22<sup>nd</sup> respectivel 6 months and 7 months into the process.

# Report

port (or series of reports) was commissioned to review Princess Anne insion plans, including accommodating Wembley.

es surrounding the request and production of data have largely been aswered.

ARC committee was not made aware of this report until June 26<sup>th</sup> – almost months after publicly announcing their recommendations on the review ess.

Copper Cliff/Lansdowne option was never fully studied.

### **Communication Breakdown**

- Lag times in releasing data publicly (ie. Meeting minutes on RDSB website)
- Lag times in responding to inquiries for information.
- Poor scheduling for the release of information and collection of public input (ie. Summer release of ARC draft report)

### The Big Picture

• Skewed information.

• What proactive steps (above and beyond what is mandated by Ministry of RDSB guidelines) were taken by RDSB to help keep Wembley open?

• Can the results be trusted if the process is flawed?

What have we still NOT been told / a lack of volunteered information.

Open and transparent?