Dear ARC Committee:

Further to my June 28th memo, here are a few more points I would like to bring to your attention.

At the meeting there was mention that another engineering study would be quite costly. I believe Kirk Dopson in his recent memo indicated that my time and Ed Chiesa's has been donated and this would continue to be the case for any further involvement on our part.

At the meeting Mr. Law made a comment about "he said she said" with reference to the June 4th meeting at the Board's office. For the record, here is a summary of these discussions. Ms. Cayen-Arnold started off the meeting by presenting us with a copy of the 2003 building condition audit. I asked her if we could get a copy and she replied she did not want copies in circulation outside of the Board's office. That's when the discussion about using the freedom of information route to get a duplicate came up.

The ESCO component mentioned in my June 16th presentation is an important element for repairing Wembley. Mr. Law briefly went over this at the meeting but I think it's an significant point to note. The ESCO contribution could possibly be hundreds of thousands of dollars.

At the meeting one of the ARC members mentioned that there have been regular capital projects taking place at Wembley since 2003. One project she mentioned was the painting which the board has on the list of repairs at \$237,000. In reviewing the list published on the Board's website, copy attached, painting is not included, why not? Are there others? All of these projects should be subtracted from the Board's \$4.2 M figure.

I hope this additional information is useful in your deliberations and welcome any feedback or questions you may have.

Sincerely;

John Hamalaínen,

2166 Armstrong St.

Sudbury, ON, Canada, P3E 5G9

t (705) 522-5745, Ext 22, f (705) 522-5650 Email: johnh@consultingengineers.ca